**Scrutiny Recommendation Tracker 2014-15**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Living Wage – Scrutiny Committee 2 March** | | | | |
| **Recommendations** | **Agreed Y/N** | **Executive response** | **Lead Member & Officer** | **Implemented Y/N / due date** |
| 1. We recommend that the City Council surveys all suppliers to measure compliance with paying the Oxford Living Wage. | Y | We should make every effort to ensure that our contractors are paying the Living Wage, but it may be difficult to achieve a full coverage of the very large number of suppliers, some of which provide very small volumes. | Cllr Bob Price / Simon Howick & Jane Lubbock | Nov 2015 |
| 2. We recommend that the City Council reviews whether the Oxford Living Wage should continue to be set at 95% of the London Living Wage. | Y | The original figure was determined on the basis of a comparison of housing and transport costs in Oxford and London. It should be possible to repeat that exercise. The Council motion which committed us to the LW, proposed a £7 OLW against the £7.20 LLW, taking account of the work undertaken by the original research by Loughborough University and the Mayor of London and using Oxford housing and transport data. That relationship was subsequently translated into a 95% figure, in order to ensure that the OLW was maintained in line with a figure for the LLW that was well researched and supported by time series evidence. This percentage link makes the administration of the OLW straightforward and avoids the need for complex research to be undertaken locally at regular intervals. | Cllr Bob Price / Simon Howick & Jane Lubbock | Nov 2015 |
| 3. We recommend that the City Council seeks to increase apprentice pay in the next budget round. | N | This issue was considered carefully at the time of the decision on apprentice pay. The current apprenticeship rates are well above the national rates, but a move to the OLW would result in a reduction in the number of apprenticeships. | Cllr Bob Price / Simon Howick & Jane Lubbock | N/A |
| 4. We recommend that the City Council actively explores the merits of incentivising businesses to pay the Oxford Living Wage through offering business rate discounts. | Y | We should consider this, but there are difficult issues of practical implementation as well as a potentially significant cost to the Council’s budget. | Cllr Bob Price / Simon Howick & Jane Lubbock | Nov 2015 |
| 5. We recommend that the City Council seeks to be more pro-active in engaging with employers and encouraging them to pay the Oxford Living Wage. This could also involve raising the profile of the Oxford Living Wage on the City Council website and listing employers that have committed to paying it. | Y | As the portfolio holder, I have written to all the major employers to encourage them to pay the Living Wage and have engaged with many of them in the course of my visits to them over the past two and a half years. The suggestions about the website and employer listings are very good ones and will be adopted. | Cllr Bob Price / Simon Howick & Jane Lubbock | Nov 2015 |
| **Culture Strategy – Scrutiny Committee 2 March** | | | | |
| **Recommendations** | **Agreed Y/N** | **Executive response** | **Lead Member & Officer** | **Implemented Y/N / due date** |
| 1. We recommend that there is an objective to extend cultural opportunities to excluded communities under priority for culture 2. | Y | Section 2 of the Strategy states that 'We are committed to providing and supporting opportunities for all of Oxford's residents to engage with arts and cultural events and activities, with a particular focus on work which reaches our young people and diverse communities.' and  'Our aim- working with our partners in the cultural sector- is to increase access from all our communities to good quality cultural opportunities and events, at affordable prices, in a range of venues and locations'.  This represents a clear and robust commitment to working with all communities including excluded groups. However, the phrase 'including excluded groups' could be inserted after 'increase access from all our communities' to strengthen the point. | Christine Simm / Peter McQuitty | April 2015 |
| 2. We recommend that the City Council asks Experience Oxfordshire to convene a seminar with elected members. | Y | Excellent suggestion which will be actioned within the next two months, giving the new incumbent a little to time to settle in to her new role. | Christine Simm / Peter McQuitty | May 2015 |
| **Discretionary Housing Payment Policy – Scrutiny Committee 2 March** | | | | |
| **Recommendations** | **Agreed Y/N** | **Executive response** | **Lead Member & Officer** | **Implemented Y/N / due date** |
| We recommend that the City Executive Board approve the revised Discretionary Housing Payment Policy. | Y | *An update paper will come to Scrutiny and CEB at the end of quarter 2 at the latest.* | Susan Brown / Paul Wilding | Y |
| **Budget Review 2015/16 = Finance Panel 5 February** | | | | |
| **Recommendations** | **Agreed Y/N** | **Executive response** | **Lead Member & Officer** | **Implemented Y/N / due date** |
| 1. That reserves and balances are reviewed with a view to investing any overstated reserves. | Y | Agree. This is something that we are undertaking anyway, as we want to maximise return on investment. It is worth noting that reserves may not be “over-stated” but may still be suitable for investment if they are held against a risk or item of expenditure occurring in a future year. | Cllr Turner | Sept 2015 |
| 2. That the City Council explores new ways of increasing public engagement in its budget setting process. | In part | We can look at the consultation and welcome suggestions. However, it is important to note that the budget is a politically-led process and that it would need to be consistent with the aims and values of the administration setting the budget. | Cllr Turner | Dec 2015 |
| 3. That Council Tax is increased by 1.99% (rather than the proposed 1.50%) in 2015/16. | Y | Agreed. | Cllr Turner | Y |
| 4. That the City Council continues to engage constructively with other Oxfordshire Councils in order to optimise any potential benefits available from business rates pooling and distribution arrangements. | Y | Agreed. We already do work with the other councils on this, but at present pooling is not to our advantage. | Cllr Turner | Y |
| 5. That the City Council looks at ways of mitigating the impacts of higher than average rents on those Council tenants who will be most affected. | N | The overall average rent rise for council tenants is 3.49% but the range is -6.58% to 6.25%. If a tenant faces into difficulties, s/he should approach the Council for assistance. For instance, there may be tenants who are not receiving all funds to which they are entitled, or in some cases a claim for Discretionary Housing Payment might be appropriate. However, the far bigger issue is for tenants in privately rented accommodation, rather than those paying comparatively low council rents. | Cllr Turner | N/A |
| 6. That further consideration is given to covering more enforcement costs through higher, related fees and charges. This should include keeping legislation under review and asking the LGA what other local authorities charge for. | Y | We are happy to do this, but it should be noted that some budgets are ring-fenced and there is a limit to what can be charged for. | Cllr Turner | Sept 2015 |
| 7. That to protect future Park and Ride incomes, the City Council seeks agreement with the County Council on consistent charging rates across all Oxford Park and Rides. | Y | We want to have common charges with the County Council, to avoid extra journeys being made to visit a cheaper park and ride. Ultimately the charges levied by the County Council are a matter for that authority. Our budget figure is our best estimate of the approach to be taken by the County Council. | Cllr Turner | Dec 2015 |
| 8. That the City Council explores mechanisms for the earlier release of land value locked up in the Barton Park development. | N | This does not look feasible or desirable. If the desire is to release waterfall payments earlier, that would not be possible without renegotiating the whole deal, which would not appear to be an endeavour with great prospect of success. Alternatively, if it is to borrow off the back of the deal, this would present the authority with additional risk, and it is not clear what the borrowing would for. We are already providing well over £100 million of investment over the next ten years, and are borrowing around £232 million. | Cllr Turner | N/A |
| 9. That the following efficiency savings are re-rated as high risk:  a) Shifting services towards community settings and online (£126k from 2017/18 in Customer Services),  b) Application portfolio & telephony review (£150k from 2015/16 in Business Improvement & Technology). | N | a) We believe this saving is deliverable and the risk rating is appropriate.  b) The applications review should deliver savings through reduced maintenance and reduced staffing resources that’s why its medium risk. It doesn’t make a difference to the budget since we provide a 40% contingency against unachieved savings for high and medium risks. | Cllr Turner | N/A |
| 10. That there is a re-energising of attempts to identify new invest-to-save opportunities in future budget rounds (see recommendation 17d). | In part | We are very ambitious here already but will continue to look. | Cllr Turner | Dec 2015 |
| 11. That sufficient flexibility is in place to mitigate the risk of the City Council having to repay £7m to the Housing Revenue Account. | Y | We will be in a position to mitigate this, but would be undesirable. | Cllr Turner | Y |
| 12. That the City Council explores how it can become a more agile operator in the housing market to ensure it secures best value for new property acquisitions. | In part | We believe we are appropriate and agile in this area of work, but are always happy to receive suggestions. | Cllr Turner | N/A |
| 13. That half of the additional waste disposal costs pressure is re-instated in the budget from 2016/17. | N | Not agreed. It would not be in the interests of the authority to make this change, and if the budget is not deliverable it will be reviewed next year. | Cllr Turner | N/A |
| 14. That off street parking income is re-modelled in light of the most recent parking data and experience with the temporary Westgate car park. | N | At this stage we do not see any evidence to suggest remodelling is necessary. | Cllr Turner | N/A |
| 15. That any savings achieved through lower than assumed energy prices are invested in energy efficiency improvements. | N | We will continue to prioritise energy efficiency regardless of the movement on energy prices. | Cllr Turner | N/A |
| 16. That HRA void losses are modelled at 1.0% (rather than the proposed 1.2%), at least in the early years of the budget period. | N | It would be prudent to retain potential void losses at 1.2%, in case void levels are higher when the Barton development becomes available. The impact upon the budget is minor. | Cllr Turner | N/A |
| 17. That the following areas should be priorities for further spending in the event that additional general fund resources become available (we have identified some options for raising revenue in the short to medium term). These suggested priorities are listed in no particular order:  a) Staff Training and Wellbeing – continue funding the training budget increase (£100k) and funding for staff wellbeing (£75k) beyond 2016/17,  b) Apprenticeships – reinstate £50k from 2015/16 or a sufficient amount to fund no fewer than 25 apprentices in future cohorts,  c) Community Development (Social Inclusion) Fund – reinstate £60k from 2015/16,  d) Business Improvement staffing reductions – reverse the £110k cut in 2016/17 in full or in part (see recommendation 10),  e) Partnership development – new investment,  f) Fund raising – new investment,  g) Planning enforcement – continue funding the Beds in Sheds project at the post April 2015 level to April 2016. A more detailed review of alternative funding streams should be undertaken during this period,  h) Discretionary Housing Payments – continue the current level of funding to April 2016. | In part  (N a-f,  Y g, in part h) | On all of these, they are really matters for councillors and groups to take a view of when it comes to budget setting.  On “Beds in Sheds”, we are proposing a carry forward to continue to fund some of this work.    Discretionary Housing Payments – continue the current level of funding to April 2016. We will, of course, review the situation with respect to DHP in the light of the coalition government’s dramatic, inappropriate reduction of our budget. We could, if needs be, support it from the homeless contingency, in some circumstances from the HRA, and we may also need to revisit the criteria for the scheme. | Cllr Turner | April 2015 |
| **Treasury Management Strategy 2015/16 – Finance Pane 6 February** | | | | |
| **Recommendation** | **Agreed Y/N** | **Executive response** | **Lead Member & Officer** | **Implemented Y/N / due date** |
| 1. That paragraph 14 in appendix 2 and the title of table 5 are reworded before Council is asked to approve the Treasury Management Strategy. | Y |  | Cllr Turner | Y |
| 2. That the City Council considers all options for refinancing the £20m repayment of its external debt, which is due to be repaid in 2020/21. | Y |  | Cllr Turner | July 2015 |
| 3. That the City Council pursues ‘real asset lettings’ at a pace. This could be both a good investment and one which supports the City Council’s objectives. | Y |  | Cllr Turner | July 2015 |
| 4. That the City Council obtains independent advice on its liquidity and borrowing potential. | Y |  | Cllr Turner | July 2015 |
| **Grant Allocations to Community and Voluntary Organisations – Scrutiny Committee 3 February** | | | | |
| **Recommendation** | **Agreed Y/N** | **Executive response** | **Lead Member & Officer** | **Implemented Y/N / due date** |
| 1. That the City Council works with OCVA to improve outreach and engagement activities with diverse community and voluntary groups, with a focus on building capacities and supporting bid-writing. | Y | I am happy to accept this recommendation. Given the concerns that were expressed at the meeting about the capacity of overarching support services to reach minority communities, we will also explore other ways of making those communities aware of what we can (and cannot) offer. We will include in the OCVA specification for 15/16 that they must follow up any unsuccessful applicants to any of the grants funding pots to offer them support and guidance. We already offer bid writing workshops for all community groups through OCVA, and this will continue. | Cllr Rowley |  |
| 2. That consideration is given to providing a greater separation between grants allocated to smaller, localised community groups and those that seek to achieve wider community benefits. | In part | I agree that full consideration should be given to the difference between larger voluntary-sector organisations and smaller groups based in local communities, and the need to strike a balance, as well as to ensure Oxford retains a wealth of groups that come from within local communities to achieve collective goals.    The Council awards grants solely on the basis of the proposal's contribution to achieving the Council's local objectives, as well as evaluating applications on the basis of how closely the applicant works with local communities and how well they establish the specific local need. We also offer dedicated support to community groups in preparing bids, both directly and through OCVA, and this will continue.    I am not convinced that a formal separation between different kinds of bidder would help to achieve this. The Council already has different a number of different grants budgets: for commissioning, with no bid limit and divided according to the Council's objectives; open bidding grants up to £10,000, and small grants up to £1,000 both very much aimed at local community-based groups; specific grants budgets for meeting particular needs. I think that considering applications separately according to the type of organisation they come from, rather than simply always bearing in mind the considerations outlined in my first paragraph above, could add complexity and diminish flexibility in achieving the Council's objectives.    However, there could be more we could do to ensure that small community-based groups are fully aware of what we can and cannot offer, and have the capacity to make appropriate applications; and our work on Scrutiny's first recommendation will be structured in order to address this. | Cllr Rowley |  |
| **Activities for Older People and Preventing Isolation – Scrutiny Committee 3 February** | | | | |
| **Recommendation** | **Agreed Y/N** | **Executive response** | **Lead Member & Officer** | **Implemented Y/N / due date** |
| That a high level review takes place to flag up any issues of non-compliance with the Equalities Act. | Y |  | Cllr Simm | June 15 |
| **Communities and Neighbourhood Services – Scrutiny Committee 3 February** | | | | |
| **Recommendation** | **Agreed Y/N** | **Executive response** | **Lead Member & Officer** | **Implemented Y/N / due date** |
| That the review of priority areas draws on the findings of the Inequalities Scrutiny Panel, as well as the latest social research data. | Y | I am fully in support of work being undertaken to identify areas deprivation throughout the City outwith the seven identified areas. Work is underway to provide an evidence based report to identify demographic change and areas of need drawing upon multiple indices of deprivation. The findings of the Inequalities Scrutiny Panel will inform this undertaking and I expect to be able to present a completed report in the summer of this year. | Cllr Simm | June 15 |
| **STAR Survey results – Housing Panel 22 January** | | | | |
| **Recommendation** | **Agreed Y/N** | **Executive response** | **Lead Member & Officer** | **Implemented Y/N / due date** |
| 1. That the City Council reviews the methodology used to measure tenant satisfaction, and aims to make personal contact with tenants in future. | Y | Cllr Seamons, Board member for Housing and Estate Regeneration said the Council needed to set out its response to the STAR survey. He asked that Scrutiny assist in reviewing the methodology used to measure tenant satisfaction and said that once the Oxford standard was implemented - it would raise the standard of peoples’ homes. | Cllr Seamons | TBC |
| 2. That the City Council sets out its response to the STAR Survey 2014 results, including any improvement measures taken or planned. | Y | Cllr Seamons | Y |
| **Fuel Poverty – Housing Panel 22 January** | | | | |
| **Recommendation** | **Agreed Y/N** | **Executive response** | **Lead Member & Officer** | **Implemented Y/N / due date** |
| 1. That tenant-facing staff in Direct Services are encouraged to offer appropriate advice on the use of free electricity. | Y | Cllr Seamons, Board member for Housing and Estate Regeneration agreed the two recommendations and would look into both pieces of work.  Cllr Turner explained that the consultation budget recommended a free energy efficiency review for every council home. | Cllrs Turner & Seamons | TBC |
| 2. That the City Council explores the possibility of buying energy in bulk. | Y | Cllrs Turner & Seamons | TBC |
| **Banking Services Provider – Finance Panel 21 January** | | | | |
| **Recommendation** | **Agreed Y/N** | **Executive response** | **Lead Member & Officer** | **Implemented Y/N / due date** |
| 1. That the City Council monitors the added social value provided by its new bank. | Y | The Report to Finance Scrutiny Panel gives examples of areas where Barclays bank gave added value in support to local business and communities in Oxford, including :  a. Skills based volunteering with schools across Oxfordshire  b. Staff volunteering to carry out activities in the local community of Oxford  c. Life skills – education programme for 11-19 year olds  d. Money skills – supporting disadvantaged people to make financial  decisions  e. Organising events and workshops for local businesses to help them market their products and service and to educate them in such areas as finance  Pending the Bank taking over the Council’s banking contract in March, the Council have already set up a meeting with the internal Welfare Reform Group to examine ways in which the bank can help our customers engaged with the Direct Payment Project on managing basic bank accounts. Over the coming months we will engage with the bank on other areas that we believe may be of assistance directly or indirectly to the Council. | Cllr Turner | July 2015 |
| 2. That the terms and conditions for all tenders are revisited to ensure that they fully reflect the Council’s ethical policies. | Y | In all of our major procurements (over £100k) the Council requires the following  commitment from the successful bidder to;  • Comply with our bribery and corruption policy  • Comply with our safeguarding policy  • Commit to our Living Wage policy  • Identify and report on local jobs and apprenticeships created as a result of the  contract  • Carbon reduction targets  • Arrangement for any prompt payment arrangements for SMEs  • Support the Council in creating opportunities within the Social value act  • Evidence of their business commitment to corporate social responsibility  All of the above form part of the final contract conditions. | Cllr Turner | Y |
| 3. That the City Council continues to monitor which banks other former Co-op customers are switching to, and whether ‘challenger banks’ begin taking on local authority customers. | Y | The City Council will continue to monitor which banks other former Co-op customers are switching to, and whether ‘challenger banks’ begin taking on local authority customers. The Council obtains some information from trade press from time to time on the movement of local authorities from the Co-Op and the activities of challenger banks. Information to date suggests that most ex local authority Co-Op customers are moving to either Barclays or Nat West. Whilst Challenger Banks have submitted a  small number of bids for local authority contracts their activities to date have been  limited and represent a ‘ dipping of a toe in the water’, although clearly over time this  has the potential to change. | Cllr Turner | July 2015 |
| **Capital Programme Management – Finance Panel 21 January** | | | | |
| **Recommendation** | **Agreed Y/N** | **Executive response** | **Lead Member & Officer** | **Implemented Y/N / due date** |
| 1. That sustainability is formalised throughout the capital gateway process. | Y | The Executive agrees that sustainability is a key consideration for the delivery of our capital investment programme. Sustainability is already an integral part of the key stages of our Gateway delivery process. | Cllr Turner / David Edwards | May 2015 |
| 2. That the City Council continues to develop a more flexible approach to the delivery of its capital programme. | Y | The Executive will continue to look at ways to improve our overall delivery process and will review the impact of the changes we have already made. Decisions on how we package and procure works in order to make the best use of resources and deliver value for money have been strengthened and are again an integral part of our revised processes. | Cllr Turner / David Edwards | May 2015 |
| **New Council controls over anti-social behaviour – Scrutiny Committee 19 January** | | | | |
| **Recommendation** | **Agreed Y/N** | **Executive response** | **Lead Member & Officer** | **Implemented Y/N / due date** |
| 1. That a Scrutiny Councillor is included in the membership of the oversight group. | Y | Recommendations are fine. Happy to have one member from Scrutiny on the oversight group. Good idea on the LA Forum input. | Cllr Sinclair / Richard Adams | Y |
| 2. That City Council officers engage with Local Area Forums regarding the application of new anti-social behaviour powers. | Y | Cllr Sinclair / Richard Adams | Y |
| **Educational Attainment – Scrutiny Committee 19 January** | | | | |
| **Recommendation** | **Agreed Y/N** | **Executive response** | **Lead Member & Officer** | **Implemented Y/N / due date** |
| That any future City Council educational programmes are co-designed with schools and are cohesively focused on achieving long term improvements in educational attainment and reductions in inequalities. | Y | I welcome the comments of the Scrutiny Committee and the acknowledgement that the City Council’s Programme has raised achievement in schools.  I agree with the proposals that any future education attainment programme is planned jointly with schools. This is what we did in setting up the programme being scrutinised and its evaluation.  An evaluation of the Leadership for Learning Programme is currently taking place with individual visits to every school in the programme. As part of this school leaders are being asked what support they feel would most help them to continue to raise attainment in future. | Cllr Kennedy / Tim Sadler | N/A |
| **Oxfordshire Growth Board – Scrutiny Committee 19 January** | | | | |
| **Recommendation** | **Agreed Y/N** | **Executive response** | **Lead Member & Officer** | **Implemented Y/N / due date** |
| That the City Council’s representative on the Oxfordshire Growth Board conveys the following suggestions to the Board and reports back to Scrutiny:  1. That the Growth Board takes a more holistic approach to sustainability, ensuring that it is a key consideration in all planning and development activities.  2. That the Growth Board considers whether it can and should have a wider brief in order to achieve greater benefits from collective working.  This could include having scope to promote innovative ways of delivering new affordable housing, and further joint lobbying to Government.  3. That all reports to the Growth Board are available in document form. | Y | The Committee's proposals are very much in line with Oxford City Council's strategic approach to the role of the Growth Board and I am happy to adopt them in the Board's future deliberations. | Cllr Price | June 2015 |
| **15** | | | | |
| **Recommendation** | **Agreed Y/N** | **Executive response** | **Lead Member & Officer** | **Implemented Y/N / due date** |
| 1. That residents are surveyed face to face and that the City Council seeks to involve Oxford Brookes University in conducting these surveys. Tenant volunteers should also be closely consulted throughout the review. |  | *To follow* | Cllr Seamons / Allison Dalton | TBC |
| 2. That the scope of this review is expanded to include older persons living in their own homes and to those in privately rented housing. Consideration should be given to how best to do this, perhaps using sample surveys. |  | *To follow* | Cllr Seamons / Allison Dalton | TBC |
| 3. That the timescale of the review is extended by 6 months (to September 2015). If required, additional resources should be allocated in the current budget round to enable this. |  | *To follow* | Cllr Seamons / Allison Dalton | TBC |
| 4. That the review is focused on understanding the future requirements of people at the younger end of the ‘Older Persons’ category, so that the City Council can plan to best meet their future needs. |  | *To follow* | Cllr Seamons / Allison Dalton | TBC |
| 5. That the Board Member prioritises the creation of new social housing for single older people if the review provides evidence that this could reduce under-occupancy or meet the current or future requirements of older tenants. |  | *To follow* | Cllr Seamons / Allison Dalton | TBC |
| 6. That a Steering Group is established to oversee the review, and that this group includes at least two elected members. |  | *To follow* | Cllr Seamons / Allison Dalton | TBC |
| **Asset Management Strategy – Housing Panel 10 December** | | | | |
| **Recommendation** | **Agreed Y/N** | **Executive response** | **Lead Member & Officer** | **Implemented Y/N / due date** |
| 1. That the City Council reviews whether it is doing all it reasonably can to ensure that tenants leave their homes in good condition before vacating them. | Y | I can agree to all the recommendations for the AMS.  Mould would not be covered in detail in a Strategy document but it is important.  Information about the National Home Swap Scheme is made available but we can tighten this up. | Cllr Seamons / Martin Shaw | June 2015 |
| 2. That the City Council strengthens partnership working to ensure that the advice and materials provided to tenants by the City Council and other agencies is joined up and consistent. | Y | Cllr Seamons / Martin Shaw | June 2015 |
| 3. That the City Council reviews whether mould is a recurring issue in the stock condition survey, and ensures that where mould occurs, it is treated effectively. | Y | Cllr Seamons / Martin Shaw | June 2015 |
| 4. That the City Council ensures that information about the National Home Swap scheme is made available to tenants who are under-occupying, in addition to other options. | Y | Cllr Seamons / Martin Shaw | June 2015 |
| **Oxford Standard – Scrutiny Committee 8 December** | | | | |
| **Recommendation** | **Agreed Y/N** | **Executive response** | **Lead Member & Officer** | **Implemented Y/N / due date** |
| 1. To include the Oxford Standard in the developing Asset Management Plan and provide a clear and “action planned” commitment to delivery. | Y | All recommendations are accepted with the exception of some details in  recommendation 3. Budgetary constraints ultimately mean the council cannot deliver on all tenant aspirations with regards to bathroom and kitchen  specifications, having instead prioritised improvements in energy efficiency.  The extensive summer consultation made clear that tenants see delivering  energy efficiency measures as a top priority. The kitchen and bathroom  specifications will however be upgraded, including with respect to the  following points:  - Renewal cycle for bathrooms to be reduced from 30 to 25 years. The  renewal cycle for kitchens will remain at 20 years in accordance with best  practice.  - The Council will now provide a shower over bath as standard and only  provide a shower instead of a bath where this is required to meet the needs of someone with a disability. | Cllr Seamons / Stephen Clarke | June 2015 |
| 2. To include the following categories of work within the Oxford Standard:  • Bathrooms  • Kitchens  • Security  • Efficiency and Heating  • Environment  All these categories of works should include some degree of choice for tenants where this is possible. | Y | Cllr Seamons / Stephen Clarke | June 2015 |
| 3. That the following works are included in the Oxford Standard across the categories recommended. The Panel recognise that the view they have taken of best practice, within social housing providers, has been limited by time and therefore wish to propose this Standard as a minimum. This work should be carried out to programme regardless of condition…*(detailed proposals)* | In part | Cllr Seamons / Stephen Clarke | June 2015 |
| 4. The priority for delivering the Oxford Standard should be decided by a combination of significant pockets of disrepair (identified with the stock condition survey) and the views of residents. The Panel was conscious that respondents to the surveys were not necessarily representative geographically so would recommend that more work is done on an area by area basis to determine local priorities. | Y | Cllr Seamons / Stephen Clarke | June 2015 |
| 5. Works should be packaged together so that more efficient outcomes for residents and the Council can be achieved. For example:  • If we replace windows then doors should be done at the same time (if needed) to give optimum benefits.  • If the heating is to be replaced or upgraded we should consider insulation and other connected repairs at the same time.  This should be a fundamental part of the planning process | Y | Cllr Seamons / Stephen Clarke | June 2015 |
| 6. Delivery of the Oxford Standard should be on an area by area basis with good communication both within and outside of the area so that all tenants can easily access information on when, where, how and why. The Panel would like to review the proposals for this communication. | Y | Cllr Seamons / Stephen Clarke | June 2015 |
| 7. Individual tenants should not be able to “opt out” except in very exceptional circumstances. If there are difficulties these should be recognised and support offered so that the work can take place. Properties should be maintained for both the present and the future. | Y | Cllr Seamons / Stephen Clarke | June 2015 |
| 8. As the Panel considered their recommendations a number of principles were voiced that can be found in the recommendations but the Panel wanted to put these in one place for clarity.  • Homes should be maintained for the present and the future so opt-outs from repairs should not be allowed except in very exceptional circumstances.  • Difficulties of individual tenants should be recognised and support offered.  • Optimum result for residents for the work commissioned  • The “like for like principle” should be removed  • Allow “choice” for tenants wherever possible  • A joined up approach to delivery  • Improved communication plans for tenants on what, where, when and why. Timescale for delivery of the Oxford Standard is available for each area.  • The quality of work should be of a high standard judged both by the Council and tenants. | Y | Cllr Seamons / Stephen Clarke | June 2015 |
| **Discretionary Rate Relief Policy – Scrutiny Committee 8 December** | | | | |
| **Recommendation** | **Agreed Y/N** | **Executive response** | **Lead Member & Officer** | **Implemented Y/N / due date** |
| 1. That non-profit making organisations are clearly encouraged to contact the City Council for an early assessment of whether they may be entitled to discretionary reliefs. | Y | All rate payers receive an annual bill which contains information about reliefs. Smaller start-ups are more difficult to identify but perhaps Scrutiny could help with this. | Cllr Brown / Tanya Bandekar | Y |
| **Clean Streets – Scrutiny Committee 8 December** | | | | |
| **Recommendation** | **Agreed Y/N** | **Executive response** | **Lead Member & Officer** | **Implemented Y/N / due date** |
| 1. That consideration is given to how street cleaning can be sufficiently resourced whilst the Streetscene Service responds appropriately to future flooding events. | N | As the public understands, at times of emergency such as flooding, it is vital that City Council staff are deployed to safeguard life and property. Sometimes this will mean some street cleaning being postponed until after the emergency is over. | Cllr Tanner / Doug Loveridge | N/A |
| 2. That the street cleaning service standards are circulated to elected members, so that any Member requests for additional work can be costed and considered within the current budget round. | Y | I am very happy to ask officers to circulate streets cleaning standards to be circulated to all councillors. | Cllr Tanner / Doug Loveridge | Y |
| 3. That clarification is provided as to what legal powers the City Council has to ensure the removal of graffiti from privately owned properties. Any guidance provide (e.g. online, written correspondence) should be reviewed and updated accordingly. | Y | This seems timely and Legal colleagues will review what powers (if any) are available. The Council is also planning to invest in a new officer post to encourage graffiti removal from private properties. | Cllr Tanner / Doug Loveridge | Y |
| **Statement of Community Involvement 2014 Review – Scrutiny Committee 10 November** | | | | |
| **Recommendation** | **Agreed Y/N** | **Executive response** | **Lead Member & Officer** | **Implemented Y/N / due date** |
| 1. That the Statement of Community engagement clearly sets out how members of the public can access paper versions of planning documents | Y | Very happy to accept that change to the report | Cllr Price / Lyndsey Beveridge | Y |
| **Towards Mental Health and Wellbeing – Scrutiny Committee 6 October** | | | | |
| **Recommendation** | **Agreed Y/N** | **Executive response** | **Lead Member & Officer** | **Implemented Y/N / due date** |
| 1. That the establishment of the Member Challenge Panel for Mental Health and Wellbeing does not divert officer resources away from other Member Services such as Scrutiny. Consideration should be given to whether a budget bid is required to support this new Member Panel. | Y | I would anticipate this challenge panel being member led, and operating for the most part informally, rather than drawing upon extensive officer support. | Cllr Turner / Val Johnson | Y |
| 1. That the Action Plan is updated and elaborated upon to include progress made against actions that are due. | Y | These are sensible comments on how to develop the action plan, and we had certainly hoped to update and monitor it. | Cllr Turner / Val Johnson | Y |
| 1. That resources required to deliver the Action Plan are fully identified and costed, so that any bids for additional resources can be made as part of the current budget setting process. | Y | Cllr Turner / Val Johnson | Y |
| 1. That consideration is given to the role of ethnic minority groups and faith leaders in supporting mental health and wellbeing in Oxford, and to how these can be included in the action plan. | Y | Cllr Turner / Val Johnson | Y |
| 1. That consideration is given to how the action plan supports the mental health and wellbeing of service personnel and veterans, and to whether more focus on these specific groups is required. | Y | Cllr Turner / Val Johnson | Y |
| **Draft Culture Strategy 2015-18 – Scrutiny Committee 6 October** | | | | |
| **Recommendation** | **Agreed Y/N** | **Executive response** | **Lead Member & Officer** | **Implemented Y/N / due date** |
| 1. That the Culture Strategy presents the fullest picture of Oxford’s cultural offering, including cultural experiences that the City Council is not directly involved in. | Y | The Strategy is focused on cultural offerings and experiences that the Council supports (by funding or partnership working) or delivers. There’s no reason why we can’t explore these links. | Cllr Simm / Peter McQuitty | Y |
| 2. That the Culture Strategy sets out how City Council functions such as licencing and planning can play an important role in supporting culture. | Y | Yes | Cllr Simm / Peter McQuitty | Y |
| 3. That the list of organisations invited to contribute to the Culture Strategy is shared with elected members, so that they can make any further suggestions. | Y | Yes. Happy for this to be shared with anyone else members think would be helpful. | Cllr Simm / Peter McQuitty | Y |
| 4. That consideration is given to how the City Council can encourage visitors to spend more time in Oxford, and to whether increasing visitor length of stay should be made a priority in the Culture Strategy. | Y | This will be considered by Experience Oxfordshire, who are funded by the City Council, and included in their Service Level Agreement. It will also be considered in the action plan under priority one; Support the sustainability of Oxford’s cultural sector and improve the skills and diversity of the city’s current and future creative workforce. | Cllr Simm / Peter McQuitty | Y |
| **Budget Monitoring 2014/15 – Quarter 1 – Finance Panel 4 September** | | | | |
| **Recommendation** | **Agreed Y/N** | **Executive response** | **Lead Member & Officer** | **Implemented Y/N / due date** |
| 1. That urgent action is taken to avoid a loss of subsidy relating to the overpayment of benefits. | Y | Extra action is already being taken, looking at training and processes. The threshold is more stringent this year due to the removal of Council Tax benefit from this calculation. | Cllr Turner / Helen Bishop | Y |
| 1. If necessary to avoid slippage, a flexible approach should be taken to spending the £2m investment in Homelessness Property Acquisitions in 2014/2015. This could include investing in social housing instead. | In part | Note sentiment but other uses are likely to take longer. | Cllr Turner | N/A |
| 1. The premises for the heavy vehicle testing facility should be flexible enough that it can be used for other purposes in the event that the testing facility is not successful. | Y | The facility is expected to be successful. | Cllr Turner | Y |
| 1. The capital programme should be a red risk in performance reports until the new capital gateway process proven to be effective. | N | Risks are measured using the Risk Management Framework agreed by Council. | Cllr Turner | N/A |
| **Treasury Management – Finance Panel 4 September** | | | | |
| **Recommendation** | **Agreed Y/N** | **Executive response** | **Lead Member & Officer** | **Implemented Y/N / due date** |
| 1. That consideration is given to how the capital process can be made more flexible so that approved projects can be brought forward to mitigate slippage elsewhere in the programme. | In part | Noted. Where possible a flexible approach will be taken. Changes to the capital programme have to be agreed by Council. | Cllr Turner | N/A |
| **Oxfordshire Growth Board - Scrutiny Committee 23 June** | | | | |
| **Recommendation** | **Agreed Y/N** | **Executive response** | **Lead Member & Officer** | **Implemented Y/N / due date** |
| 1. For the Terms of Reference to explicitly set out that meeting agendas and minutes will be publicly available and that access to meetings will be possible for Councillors and members of the public. | Y | This suggestion will be referred to the Board | Cllr Price | N |
| **Community Engagement Policy Statement - Scrutiny Committee 23 June** | | | | |
| **Recommendation** | **Agreed Y/N** | **Executive response** | **Lead Member & Officer** | **Implemented Y/N / due date** |
| 1. To provide a clear statement in the principles on the ambition for engagement focusing on depth as well as breadth. | Y | Merged with recommendation 3. | Cllrs Price & Simm;  Sadie Paige | N/A |
| 1. To provide information on the engagement ambitions set for all consultations during the last year, what was achieved and how this fits with the principles set within the Policy Statement. | Y | To provide this information for all consultations would be a huge piece of work so a sample will be used instead, together with a forward-looking approach. | Cllrs Price & Simm;  Sadie Paige | Y |
| 1. To suggest to the Scrutiny Committee an up and coming engagement/empowerment exercise that can act as a pilot study to demonstrate the effectiveness of the principles within this report. | Y | Two consultations identified as candidates for the pilot as per CEB suggestion. Project brief created for the pilot, which includes the objectives, and a reporting template. | Cllrs Price & Simm;  Sadie Paige | Y |
| 1. To provide a table that shows how all comments received during the consultation on this Policy Statement have been handled. | Y | Expected at 10 November Scrutiny Committee meeting. | Cllrs Price & Simm;  Sadie Paige | Y |
| **End of Year Integrated Report – 2013-2014 - Scrutiny Committee 23 June** | | | | |
| **Recommendation** | **Agreed Y/N** | **Executive response** | **Lead Member & Officer** | **Implemented Y/N** |
| 1. The Committee supports the purchase of the Iffley Road building as an asset of value to the community and recognises that negotiations are on-going. There is a gap between the asking price and the money available and the City Executive Board is asked to do what it can within reasonable value for money criteria to secure the purchase of this property. | Y | Noted (£250k has been earmarked for acquisition of property). | Cllr Turner; Nigel Kennedy; Jane Lubbock | N |
| 1. To consider the contingency available to support homelessness in light of county proposals for implementing cuts in the Supporting People and if underspends from 13/14 should be maintained within this budget. | N | Current level of contingency considered to be sufficient. | Cllr Turner; Nigel Kennedy; Jane Lubbock | N/A |
| **Fusion Lifestyle Performance 2013-2014 - Scrutiny Committee 23 June** | | | | |
| **Additional information requested** | **Agreed Y/N** | **Outcome** | **Lead Member & Officer** | **Implemented Y/N** |
| **Facility running costs**  It was agreed at the June meeting in 2013 that the running costs of the facilities would be shown including all capital investment and loan cost in the next report. This hadn’t been done.  **Performance outside of expectations**  Members asked how poor performance was addressed and asked to see the issues raised and the actions/penalties taken over the last year.  **Publicity Campaign**  An issue was raised concerning literature used to highlight the Active Women Campaign. The images used were considered to be too stereotypical and gendered. The Committee asked that this issue be taken up with Sports England who run this national campaign.  **Views of non-card users at facilities**  The Committee asked to see any information on the views and experiences of non-card users.  **Falling attendance amongst young people**  The Committee were concerned to see this and wanted some more detailed data and information to understand more fully the reasons behind it and whether it was a particular set of circumstances or a trend.  **Information excluded from the public**  The Committee heard a complaint from a member of the public that the information provided outlining the running costs to the Council of each Leisure Facility should be made public because if the Council was still running these centres then the information would be available publically. The Committee heard that this was commercial information but asked that this exclusion is reconsidered by Fusion.  **Investment financing**  Members were interested in why the City Council financed investment spending that Fusion Lifestyle was originally required to finance, and in how much this saved the partnership. | N/A | Information papers considered by Scrutiny Committee on 2 September.  Meeting offered to Chair to discuss finance investment financing. | Cllr Rowley;  Lucy Cherry | Y |